

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/446,202	12/16/1999	BRIAN JOSEPH ROSELLE	6741	1967	
27752 7.	590 03/18/2003				
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION			EXAMINER		
WINTON HIL	L TECHNICAL CENTER	PRATT, HELEN F			
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			ART UNIT	DADED MUDED	
CINCINNATI,	OH 45224		ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761 DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	21	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	A <sub>I</sub>	oplicant(s)	(-1			
,		09/446,202	R	OSELLE ET AL.				
Offic Ac	ion Summary	Examiner	Ai	rt Unit				
		Helen F. Pratt	17	761				
The MAILING	DATE of this communication a				ress			
Period for Reply								
THE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from  - If the period for reply specif  - If NO period for reply is spe  - Failure to reply within the se	TUTORY PERIOD FOR REP OF THIS COMMUNICATION available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 the mailing date of this communication. ed above is less than thirty (30) days, a recified above, the maximum statutory period for extended period for reply will, by status ffice later than three months after the mail ent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how ply within the statutory mi d will apply and will expire te, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be timely the nimum of thirty (30) days will SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED (3	iled  be considered timely. nailing date of this corr 5 U.S.C. § 133).	ımunication.			
1)⊠ Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 25	February 2003 .						
2a) This action is	FINAL. 2b) 🖂 🖯	his action is non-f	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
<u>-</u>	and 17 is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s)								
	, <u>17</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	are subject to restriction and	or election require	ment.					
Application Papers								
9) The specificatio	n is objected to by the Examir	ier.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1.☐ Certified	copies of the priority docume	nts have been rece	eived.					
2.☐ Certified	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) ☐ Acknowledgmen	is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (1	to a provisional a	application).			
'	tion of the foreign language p t is made of a claim for dome							
Attachment(s)								
3) Information Disclosure S	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (P Notice of Informal Pate Other:					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office	Action Summary		Part of Part	aper No. 20			

Application/Control Number: 09/446,202

Art Unit: 1761

火烧

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14, 15, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murch '295 in view of Bossert et al. (4,140,649).

The claims are rejected for the reasons of record over Murch '295 since the claims have been amended to include the limitations of the cancelled claims (Board of Appeals Decision page 2-8). Claim 14 further requires the addition of EDTA. Bossert et al. disclose that it is known to use EDTA in a cleaning composition for the surfaces of foods of plant origin (abstract and col. 5, lines 60-70 and col. 6, lines 1-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to use a known calcium sequestrant in the claimed composition for the same function especially as the reference to Murch '295 discloses the use of polycarboxylic acids and their salts (col. 8, lines 56-68).

The limitations of claims 15 and 17 have been discussed in the last Board of Appeals rejection and are obvious for those reasons (pages 2-8).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen F. Pratt whose telephone number is 703-308-1978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/446,202

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Milton Cano, can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Hp 3-7-03

HELEN PRATT
PRIMARY EXAMINER